From: arXiv Moderation Support jira@arxiv-org.atlassian.net Subject: MOD-31501 arXiv - important notification regarding submit/5229543 Date: November 20, 2023 at 8:11 AM

To: knuteson@mit.edu

Reply above this line.



Dear author,

Thank you for submitting your work to arXiv. We regret to inform you that arXiv's moderators have determined that your submission will not be accepted and made public on[http://arxiv.org][arXiv.org]http://arxiv.org].

Our moderators determined that your submission does not contain sufficient original or substantive scholarly research and is not of interest to arXiv.

For more information on moderation policies and procedures, please see: <u>https://arxiv.org/help/moderation</u>.

arXiv moderators strive to balance fair assessment with decision speed. We understand that this decision may be disappointing, and we apologize that, due to the high volume of submissions arXiv receives, we cannot offer more detailed feedback. Some authors have found that asking their personal network of colleagues or submitting to a conventional journal for peer review are alternative avenues to obtain feedback.

We appreciate your interest in arXiv and wish you the best.

Regards,

From: Bruce Knuteson knuteson@mit.edu

Subject: Re: MOD-31501 arXiv - important notification regarding submit/5229543

Date: November 20, 2023 at 8:16 PM

To: moderation for arXiv.org moderation@arXiv.org

Dear arXiv Moderation,

I appreciate your consideration of arXiv:submit/5229543. In response to your determination that this article does not contain sufficient original or substantive scholarly research to merit inclusion within arXiv, I would like to comment on the originality and substantiveness of this paper.

Plots (Figures 4-9)

As far as I am aware, this article is the first to clearly show striking, decades-long patterns of overnight and intraday returns in individual stocks of many major companies outside the United States and China.

Figure 5 of this paper is the first to clearly show striking, decades-long patterns of overnight and intraday returns in most of the individual stocks in France's CAC 40 index.

Figure 6 of this paper is the first to clearly show striking, decades-long patterns of overnight and intraday returns in most of the individual stocks in Germany's DAX index.

Figure 7 of this paper is the first to clearly show striking, decades-long patterns of overnight and intraday returns in many of the individual stocks in the UK's FTSE 100 index.

Figure 9 of this paper is the first to clearly show striking, decades-long patterns of overnight and intraday returns in many of the individual stocks in Japan's Nikkei 225 index.

Figure 8 of this paper is the first to clearly show absolutely shocking, decades-long patterns of overnight and intraday returns in half the individual stocks in India's Nifty 50 index.

In addition, Figure 4 of this paper shows shocking, decades-long patterns of overnight and intraday returns in individual stocks traded in the United States, many -- including Barclays, Citigroup, Ford, Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac, and others of widespread interest -- for the first time.

If I missed a paper that has already described these striking patterns of overnight and intraday returns in the stocks of these major companies in France, Germany, the United Kingdom, India, and Japan with the clarity of Figures 5 through 9 in this article, I would appreciate your please pointing me to it.

If not, I respectfully point out that the contents of Figures 4 through 9 themselves are more than sufficiently original and substantive to merit inclusion within arXiv.

I also point out that the 200 plots of individual stocks shown in Figures 4 through 10 -- including the striking, decades-long consistency of many of the plots, the stocks (including those outside China) in which the pattern is obviously and significantly flipped from the global trend, the absolutely ridiculous patterns in India, and the many stocks in Figure 4 in which the pattern clearly changes at specific points in time -- provide tighter constraints on the space of possible explanations than my previous articles on this topic and the aggregate and index-level analyses appearing in most articles authored by others on this topic.

Document Trail (Section III)

In addition, this article is the first to describe a public, non-anonymized thread with the world's regulators and others documenting their apparent lack of a plausible innocuous explanation for these return patterns, their apparent lack of a good reason they are fine, and their choice to not alert the public to them. This significant document trail, first described in this article, is unquestionably original, and the apparent lack of an innocuous explanation or a good reason they are fine is unquestionably substantive. Their choice to not alert the public is similarly unquestionably substantive. (My litany of the errors committed by the *Financial Times* in their coverage of this topic in Section II is also substantive in pointedly conveying to the reader the apparent inability of anyone to articulate even one plausible innocuous explanation for these suspicious return patterns.)

Explanation (Section III)

The original, substantive facts first presented in this article -- the plots in Figures 4-9 and the document trail in Section III -- are hard to reconcile. On the one hand, the individual stocks of many major companies around the world display striking, decades-long return patterns with no apparent innocuous explanation. On the other hand, those in a position to comment on them have chosen to remain silent. This article originally and substantively reconciles these seemingly inconsistent observations by describing the incentives at play (in more detail than my previous articles). Incentives are integral to finance and economics, and a discussion of the incentives relevant to understanding such shocking empirical facts is wholly

appropriate. There is no helpful formalism for describing such incentives, so I have used words. A tone appropriate to the seriousness of the issue reads abrasively accusatory, so I have used a lighter tone. If you are aware of any article that has previously reconciled the striking, decades-long patterns in individual stocks shown for the first time in Figures 4-9 and the shocking document trail described for the first time in Section III with the discussion of incentives (many details of which are) provided for the first time in Section III, I would appreciate your please pointing me to it.

If your underlying objection is actually a concern about the accuracy of the shocking empirical facts presented for the first time in this article (which would make more sense to me than suggesting a lack of originality or substance), please note that any reader can independently verify their accuracy herself. The plots I show use data publicly available from Yahoo Finance. My code is publicly available. My thread with the SEC and others can (I presume) be verified by submitting a Freedom of Information Act request to the SEC. My previous articles on this topic have been widely read and checked, and no error has been found.

To summarize, if you can point to a specific error in this article or to a paper that has previously provided the contents of Figures 4-9, the document trail described for the first time in Section III, and the detailed discussion of incentives in Section III reconciling these shocking facts, please do so.

If not, then with apologies for the length of this appeal and the hassle it involves, I respectfully ask that you reconsider your decision on arXiv:submit/5229543.

Thanks and regards, Bruce From: arXiv Moderation Support jira@arxiv-org.atlassian.net Subject: MOD-31552 appeal of decision on arXiv:submit/5229543 Date: November 21, 2023 at 11:53 AM To: knuteson@mit.edu

Reply above this line.



Dear author,

Thank you for submitting your work to arXiv.

Our moderators will consider an appeal if this submission is published or accepted in a conventional journal with a resolving DOI (Digital Object Identifier) or link to the journal's website showing the status of the work.

For more information, please see https://arxiv.org/help/moderation.

We appreciate your interest in arXiv and wish you the best.

Regards, arXiv Support